

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2651		
10/072,728	02/07/2002	Chester L. Schuler	IMM043E			
60140	7590 11/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	N - THELEN REID &	KUMAR, SRI	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K			
THELEN RE P.O. BOX 64	ID & PRIEST L.L.P 0640		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	CA 95164-0640		2629			
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2000	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/072,728	3	SCHULER ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
			Srilakshmi		2629		
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun ply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum staply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months a not term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. 0) days, a reply atutory period wi will, by statute,	36(a). In no ever within the statut ill apply and will cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the total come and the come	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	consive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>03 No</u>	ovember 20	<u>05</u> .			
2a) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	f Claims						
4)⊠ Clain 4a) O 5)⊡ Clain 6)⊠ Clain 7)⊡ Clain	4) Claim(s) 19-25 and 27-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 19-25 and 27-33 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Pa	apers						
10)☐ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the frawing(s) filed on is/are: cant may not request that any objected to accement drawing sheet(s) including that or declaration is objected to	a) accection to the d	epted or b) drawing(s) be on is required	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl		
		by the Exe	airiirier. 140t	e the attached Office	Action of form P	0-132.	
12) Ackno a) All 1. 2. 3.		documents documents of the priori nal Bureau	have been have been ity documer (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Dr. 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or /Mail Date			1) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/072,728

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following office action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination, filed on November 3, 2006. Claims 19-25, 27-33 are pending. Claims 19, 25 and 31 are amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 19-25, 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by McIntosh (US 5,103,404) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA)

In reference to claims 19, 25, 31 and 33, McIntosh teaches manipulating device with force feedback. Motors/actuators are configured to provide modulated force feedback (column 4, lines 37-41). McIntosh's invention also includes a data storage component that stores the torque data to be supplied to control the force feedback (column 10, 46-53). McIntosh also teaches a sensor that is used to determine the position of the moveable device (column 7, 50-57). In column 2, lines 49-54, McIntosh teaches that the "the motion of (the) motor, is determined by either operator controlled movements of the control motor or preprogrammed motion instructions" i.e. a force profile. More specifically, he teaches "the manipulator motor is driven to its desired position as determined by the control motor, or in some cases, preprogrammed instructions" and "that the system provides a readily programmable degree of coupling between the two motors" in column 3, lines 1-30. McIntosh discusses the different mode of force

calculations that may be implemented, also described in the flowcharts disclosed in figures 1823. McIntosh's invention also includes RAM and ROM memories (figure 10) to assist in the loading and storing of torque information. Finally, McIntosh also includes a local controller that is connected to the storage device and actuator (figure 10). The local controller communicates with the host computer (column 10, lines 24-25) to determine the correct feedback values to be applied (column 10, 30-45). McIntosh does not disclose wherein the haptic feedback including a modulating force simulating a plurality of electronically defined stop positions. Applicant's Admitted Prior Art on page 2, line 17-page 3, line 5 teach where it is well known in the art where haptic feedback devices have control wheel that exhibit tactile responsiveness, such as detents or clicks as they are rotated, wherein each click is a modulating force simulating a plurality of electronically defined stop positions, such that each click corresponds to one frame. Therefore, it would have been obvious to include the modulating force simulating a plurality of electronically defined stop positions as taught by AAPA, as the stop positions enable the user to determine frame rates as discussed on pages 2 and 3 of applicant's specification.

In reference to claim 20, McIntosh teaches the use of two motors for performing feedback (column 2, lines 42-43).

In reference to claim 21, McIntosh teaches that the data storage component is capable of storing and recalling information (column 10, lines 5-8).

In reference to claims 22 and 32, in column 4, lines 37-41, McIntosh teaches that the torque values are used to produce the desired tactile feedback force.

In reference to claims 23 and 28, as shown in figure 10, McIntosh teaches the data storage component is external to the controller.

In reference to claims 24 and 29, as shown in figure 10, McIntosh teaches the RAM and ROM components are external to the microprocessor (item 61), however one skilled in the art understands that control chips may be constructed to include memory elements. This feature of where the RAM and ROM are internal to the processor is evidenced by Sanderson (US 4,768,412) in col. 10, lines 56-66 where a microprocessor is taught to internally include the RAM and ROM. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a controller with an internal storage component in order to reduce the number of parts needed to fabricate the invention.

In reference to claim 27, McIntosh teaches that he moveable member is a portion of a actuator (column 4, lines 37-41).

In reference to claim 30, McIntosh's storage component (figure 10, item 65) receives data from a remote processor (item 26).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim19-25, 27-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to applicant's request for prior art disclosing where the memory is internally included, see the evidenced prior art of Sanderson as is disclosed above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Srilakshmi K. Kumar

Examiner
Art Unit 2629

SKK November 9, 2006